arXiv:1304.3928v1 [math.AG] 14 Apr 2013

Rational curves, Dynkin diagrams and Fano manifolds with nef tangent bundle

Roberto Muñoz, Gianluca Occhetta, Luis E. Solá Conde,
and Kiwamu Watanabe

Abstract. A Fano manifold X with nef tangent bundle is of flag-type if it has the same type of elementary contractions as a complete flag manifold. In this paper we present a method to associate a Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$ with any such X, based on the numerical properties of its contractions. We then show that $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is the Dynkin diagram of a semisimple Lie group. As an application we prove that Campana-Peternell conjecture holds when X is a flag-type manifold whose Dynkin diagram is A_n (X is shown to be the complete flag in $\mathbb{P}^n$).

1. Introduction

The problem of classifying complex projective manifolds with nef tangent bundles appeared in the 1980's as the natural extension of the Hartshorne-Fränkel Conjecture, proven by Mori using deformation theory of rational curves in his celebrated paper [**Mor**].

A quick look at the known examples shows that the natural candidates for the list are constructed upon homogeneous manifolds. To be more precise, on one hand Demailly, Peternell and Schneider showed in [**DPS**] that, up to an étale covering, manifolds with nef tangent bundle always admit a fibration (their Albanese morphism) over an abelian variety, whose fibers are Fano manifolds with nef tangent bundle. On the other hand, Campana and Peternell have posed the following conjecture, which is the motivation for this paper:

Conjecture 1.1 (Campana-Peternell Conjecture). *The only complex Fano manifolds with nef tangent bundle are rational homogeneous spaces, i.e. quotients of semisimple Lie groups by parabolic subgroups.*

This conjecture (that we will call *CP conjecture*, for short) has been proven to be true in several cases: for manifolds of dimension three [**CP**] and four [**CP2, Mok, Hw**], and for fivefolds of Picard number bigger than one [**W2**]. It holds also in other setups, for instance for manifolds big and 1-ample tangent bundle [**SW**].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M15; Secondary 14E30, 14J45.

First and third author partially supported by the spanish government project MTM2009-06964. Third and fourth author partially supported by the Research in pairs program of CIRM. Fourth author partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24840008.

Let us point out that in the quoted references the proofs depend on detailed classifications of the manifolds satisfying the required properties, whose homogeneity is checked a posteriori. In this paper we propose a way of facing directly the problem of constructing a semisimple group out of the nefness assumption.

Note that for every semisimple group G, the associated Dynkin diagram can be recovered from numerical properties of the elementary contractions of the *complete flag manifold* G/B, that is the quotient of G by a Borel subgroup (see Proposition 2.3). Hence it makes sense to concentrate first on those Fano manifolds with nef tangent bundle that have the same kind of elementary contractions as a complete flag manifold: we call these varieties *FT-manifolds* (see Definition 3.2).

An important point to note here is that, from previous results of the authors ([**MOS, W1**]) it follows that every FT-manifold of Picard number two is, in fact, homogeneous: this fact, apart of being the starting point of some inductive arguments, allows us to associate a Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$ to every FT-manifold X. The Kac-Moody algebra encoded in this diagram could, in principle, be infinite dimensional. Fortunately, an argument with chains of rational curves in X allows us to obtain the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.2. *The Dynkin diagram* $\mathcal{D}(X)$ *of an FT-manifold* X *is finite.*

At this point, in order to conclude the CP conjecture for FT-manifolds, it remains to show that X is, indeed, isomorphic to a quotient of the semisimple Lie group G determined by $\mathcal{D}(X)$. We show that, without loss of generality, one may further assume that G is simple (Corollary 5.7). We finally prove that the CP conjecture holds if $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is A_n, so that:

Theorem 1.3. *Let* X *be an FT-manifold with associated Dynkin diagram* A_n*. Then* X *is isomorphic to the manifold parametrizing complete flags in* $\mathbb{P}^n$*. In particular, the CP Conjecture holds for FT-manifolds whose associated Dynkin diagram is a disjoint union of diagrams of type A.*

We expect that similar arguments may lead to a similar result for types B_n, C_n and D_n. Let us finally note that, up to the complete classification of FT-manifolds, the Campana-Peternell Conjecture could be obtained from the solution of the following problem:

Problem 1.4. *Given a Fano manifold with nef tangent bundle* Y*, construct an FT-manifold* X *dominating* Y*.*

The structure of the paper is the following: In Section 2 we introduce notation and some well-known facts regarding rational homogeneous spaces. Section 3 is devoted to basic results on Fano manifolds with nef tangent bundle and the definition and properties of FT-manifolds and their Dynkin diagrams. In Section 4 we discuss chains of minimal rational curves on FT-manifolds, which are the main tool we use to prove the main Theorem in Section 5. Finally in Section 6 we propose a method to prove that an FT-manifold with prescribed Dynkin diagram is in fact a rational homogeneous space, and apply it to the case of A_n.

Acknowledgements: This project was conceived when the third and fourth author enjoyed a grant of the "Research in pairs" program of the Fondazione Bruno Kessler, at the Centro Internazionale per la Ricerca Matematica (Trento). We would like to express our gratitude to this institution for its support and hospitality. We would also like to thank J. Wiśniewski for his interest in our project and his useful comments.

2. Notation on rational homogeneous spaces

In this section we will quickly review some basic facts on Lie groups and rational homogeneous spaces, fixing the notation we will use when referring to them. See [**H**] for a complete account on this topic.

2.1. Semisimple Lie groups and algebras.

Along this section G will denote a semisimple Lie group, with associated Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.1.1. *Cartan decomposition and root systems.* Given a *Cartan subalgebra* (that is, a maximal abelian subalgebra) $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, its action on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines an eigenspace decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$, called *Cartan decomposition* of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^\vee \setminus \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha .$$

The spaces (so-called *root spaces*) $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ are defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{ g \in \mathfrak{g} \,|\, [h,g] = \alpha(h) g, \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h} \},$$

and the elements $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$ for which $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0$ are called *roots* of $\mathfrak{g}$; the finite set of these elements is called the *root system* of $\mathfrak{g}$ and will be denoted by Φ. Moreover, one may prove that the root spaces are one-dimensional, that $\alpha \in \Phi$ iff $-\alpha \in \Phi$, and that $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ iff $0 \neq \alpha + \beta \in \Phi$.

2.1.2. *The Weyl group of* $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that the *Killing form* $\kappa(X,Y) := \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}(X) \circ \mathrm{ad}(Y))$ defines a nondegenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}$, whose restriction to the real vector space E generated by Φ is positive definite. It is then well known that the root system Φ is invariant by the *reflections with respect to roots*, which are the isometries of (E, κ) defined by:

$$\sigma_\alpha(x) = x - \langle x, \alpha \rangle \alpha, \quad \text{where} \quad \langle x, \alpha \rangle := 2 \frac{\kappa(x,\alpha)}{\kappa(\alpha,\alpha)}.$$

The group $W \subset \mathrm{SO}(E,\kappa)$ generated by the σ_α's is called the *Weyl group of* $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.1.3. *The Cartan matrix of* $\mathfrak{g}$. Set $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $D := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. A base of $\mathfrak{h}^\vee$ formed by elements of Φ such that the coordinates of every element of Φ are integers, all of them nonnegative or all of them nonpositive, is called a set of *simple roots* of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is known that such a set always exists, and we will choose one of them, denoting it by $\Delta = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in D}$. It provides a decomposition of the set of roots according to their sign $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$, where $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. Moreover, every positive root can be obtained from simple roots by means of reflections σ_{α_i}. It is then clear that the matrix M whose coefficients are $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$, the so-called *Cartan matrix of* $\mathfrak{g}$, encodes the necessary information to reconstruct $\mathfrak{g}$ upon the set of simple roots Δ.

2.1.4. *Dynkin diagrams and the classification of semisimple Lie groups.* The coefficients of the Cartan matrix M of $\mathfrak{g}$ are subject to certain arithmetic restrictions:

- $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle = 2$ for all i,
- $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i \rangle = 0$, and
- if $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \neq 0$, $i \neq j$, then $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ and $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i \rangle = 1, 2$ or 3.

These properties allow us to represent M by a *Dynkin diagram*, that we denote by $\mathcal{D}$, that consists of a graph whose set of nodes is D and where the nodes i and j are joined by $\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i \rangle \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$ edges. When two nodes i and j are joined by a double or triple edge, we add to it an arrow, pointing to i if $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle > \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle$.

The classification theorem of root systems tells us that every finite root system is a disjoint union of mutually orthogonal irreducible root subsystems, each of them corresponding to one of the *connected finite Dynkin diagrams* A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2.

From this result it follows that every semisimple Lie group G is a product of *simple* Lie groups, each of them corresponding to one of the Dynkin diagrams above. Recall that under this correspondence, the classical Lie groups SL_{n+1}, SO_{2n+1}, Sp_{2n} and SO_{2n} correspond to the diagrams A_n, B_n, C_n and D_n, respectively.

2.2. Rational homogeneous spaces. A rational homogeneous space is a projective smooth manifold isomorphic to a quotient G/P where G is a semisimple group and P is a subgroup of G. Let us start by recalling how these quotients may be described in terms of root systems.

2.2.1. *Marked Dynkin diagrams.* The subgroups of G for which G/P is a projective manifold are called *parabolic*. The most important thing to note here is that every parabolic subgroup is determined by a set of simple roots of G in the following way: given a subset $I \subset D$, let $\Phi^+(I)$ be the subset of Φ^+ generated by $D \setminus I$; then the subspace

$$\mathfrak{p}(I) := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(I)} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

is a *parabolic subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$, determining a parabolic subgroup $P(I) \subset G$. Conversely, every parabolic subgroup is constructed in this way. In the most common notation, we represent $G/P(I)$ by marking on the Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}$ of G the nodes corresponding to I. When G is clear from the context, we will denote by $F(I)$ the projective manifold $G/P(I)$.

2.2.2. *Contractions of rational homogeneous spaces.* From the above construction it immediately follows that given two subsets $I \subset J \subset D$, there exists a surjective proper morphism $p^{J,I} : F(J) \to F(I)$. We will denote by $T^{J,I}$ the relative tangent bundle of $p^{J,I}$, and by $K^{J,I}$ its relative canonical divisor. The following result describes the Mori cone of $F(I)$:

Proposition 2.1. *Every rational homogeneous manifold $F(I) = G/P(I)$ is a Fano manifold, whose contractions are all of the form $p^{J,I}$, $I \subset J \subset D$. In particular, the Picard number of $F(I)$ is $\sharp(I)$ and $\overline{NE}(F(I)) \subset N_1(F(I))$ is simplicial. Moreover, the fibers of a contraction $p^{J,I}$ are rational homogeneous spaces, determined by the marked Dynkin diagram obtained from $\mathcal{D}$ by removing the nodes in I and marking $J \setminus I$.*

2.2.3. *Complete flag manifolds.* The smallest parabolic subgroup (up to choice of a Cartan subgroup and a set of simple roots) $B := P(D)$ receives the name of *Borel subgroup* of G, and the corresponding rational homogeneous space $F := F(D) = G/B$ is usually called the *complete flag manifold* of G. For simplicity, given any subset $I \subset D$, we will write p^I, T^I, K^I instead of $p^{D,I}, T^{D,I}, K^{D,I}$. Moreover, for $I = \{i\}$ we will use the index i instead of $\{i\}$. Finally, for every subset $I \subset D$, we will use the notation p_I, T_I, K_I to denote $p^{D\setminus I}, T^{D\setminus I}, K^{D\setminus I}$. For instance: the relative canonical divisors of the i-th elementary contraction of F will be denoted by K_i. Note that, under this description, one may prove the following:

Proposition 2.2. *The fibers of every contraction of $F = G/B$ are complete flag manifolds.*

2.3. Homogeneous vector bundles on rational homogeneous spaces. In this section we will recall some basic results on homogeneous vector bundles that we will need later on.

2.3.1. *Relative tangent bundles of contractions of a complete flag manifold.* Homogeneous vector bundles on a rational homogeneous manifold G/P are determined by representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$. For instance, the *tangent bundle* of G/P, whose total space may be described as

$$T_{G/P} = G \times_P \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p} := (G \times \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})/\sim,$$

where $(g, v + \mathfrak{p}) \sim (gp^{-1}, \mathrm{Ad}_p(v) + \mathfrak{p})$ for all $p \in P$, is given by the representation:

$$\mathfrak{p} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}) \text{ given by } X \mapsto \mathrm{ad}_X \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

In the case of a complete flag manifold $F = G/B$, we may identify $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ with $\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, and then one sees that for every subset $I \subset D$, the subspace $\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi^+(I)} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ is invariant by the action of $\mathfrak{b}$. The homogeneous vector bundle that this $\mathfrak{b}$-module defines is, in fact, the relative tangent bundle T^I of the contraction $p^I : F \to F(I)$. In particular, for every index $i \in D$ the relative tangent bundle T_i is isomorphic to the line subbundle:

$$G \times_B \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \hookrightarrow T_F.$$

2.3.2. *Line bundles, weights and* 1*-cycles.* It is a known fact that every line bundle on a rational homogeneous space is homogeneous. As a consequence, the Picard group of a manifold $F(I)$ can be written in terms of the marked diagram determining it.

In fact, every homogeneous line bundle on $F(I)$ corresponds to a representation of $P(I)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ or, equivalently, to a morphism of Lie algebras $\lambda : \mathfrak{p}(I) \to \mathbb{C}$. Using Equation (1), one may easily see that λ factors via the projection to $\mathfrak{h}$ and that the corresponding element in $\mathfrak{h}^\vee$ satisfies $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+(I)$.

In particular the set of *weights* of G

$$\Lambda := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^\vee \,|\, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi\}$$

may be identified with $\mathrm{Pic}(F)$ and, under this identification, the Picard group of any other $F(I)$ may be seen, via the corresponding pull-back map to F, as the sublattice of Λ orthogonal to α_i for all $i \in D \setminus I$. For instance, under this identification the weights $-\alpha_i$ correspond to the relative tangent bundles T_i of the contractions $p_i : F \to F(D \setminus \{i\})$.

Moreover, this description of line bundles as weights allows us to identify the linear operators of the form $\langle\ , \alpha\rangle$ with numerical classes of 1-cycles in G/B. For instance, for any $i \in D$, the operator $\langle\ , \alpha_i\rangle$ vanishes, by construction, on $p_i^* \mathrm{Pic}(F(D \setminus \{i\}))$, and satisfies $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle = 2$, hence it corresponds to the class of the fiber C_i of the elementary contraction $p_i : F \to F(D \setminus \{i\})$. In particular:

Proposition 2.3. *With the same notation as above, the Cartan matrix of G is equal to the intersection matrix $(T_i \cdot C_j)$.*

As a final consequence, the dual base $\{\lambda_j\}$ of the base of simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}$ (the so-called set of *fundamental weights* of G) may be then identified with the set of pull-backs of the ample generators of the Picard groups of the manifolds $F(i)$.

2.3.3. *The anticanonical bundle of F.* From the homogeneous description of the tangent bundle one may show that the anticanonical divisor $-K_F$ corresponds to the weight $\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}\alpha$. Similarly, it follows that the relative anticanonical divisor $-K^I$ of the contraction p^I corresponds to the weight $\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+(I)}\alpha$. In particular, it is an integral combination of the relative anticanonical divisors $-K_i$. In the case of $-K_F$, for instance, the coefficients may be easily computed from the Cartan matrix of G, by using Prop. 2.3 and taking in account that $-K_F\cdot C_i=2$ for all i.

2.3.4. *Homogeneous filtrations of the tangent bundle.* Every homogeneous vector subbundle of T_F is determined by a subspace $\bigoplus_{\beta\in\Psi}\mathfrak{g}_\beta\hookrightarrow\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ that is $\mathfrak{b}$-invariant or, equivalently, by a subset $\Psi\subset\Phi^+$ satisfying the following property:

$$\alpha+\beta\in\Psi,\alpha,\beta\in\Phi^+ \text{ implies that } \alpha,\beta\in\Psi. \tag{2}$$

We then say that Ψ is *admissible.*

Note that one may find a finite sequence of admissible subsets,

$$\Psi_1\subsetneq\cdots\subsetneq\Psi_m=\Phi^+$$

satisfying that $\Psi_i=\Psi_{i-1}\cup\{\beta_i\}$, for some $\beta_i\in\Phi^+$, for all i. In fact, denoting by $\operatorname{ht}(\beta)$ the height of a root β with respect to the base Δ, that is $\operatorname{ht}(\beta)=\sum_{k=1}^n r_j$ for $\beta=\sum_{k=1}^n r_j\alpha_j$, consider any ordering of Φ^+, $\{\beta_{i_1},\cdots,\beta_{i_m}\}$ satisfying that $\operatorname{ht}(\beta_j)\le\operatorname{ht}(\beta_{j+1})$ for every j. Then the sets $\Psi_k=\{\beta_{i_1},\cdots,\beta_{i_k}\}$ are admissible.

In particular we obtain a filtration of T_F:

$$T_{\Psi_1}\subsetneq\cdots\subsetneq T_{\Psi_m}=T_F$$

satisfying that:

$$T_{\Psi_i}/T_{\Psi_{i-1}}\cong G\times_B\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i}.$$

Note that, if $\beta_i=\sum_j r_j\alpha_j$, then

$$G\times_B\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i}=\bigotimes_j\left(G\times_B\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j}\right)^{\otimes r_j}.$$

Construction 2.4. The tangent bundle T_F may be described as the involutive closure of the direct sum of the line bundles T_ℓ, $\ell\in D$. We will show here a stepwise procedure to construct a homogeneous filtration of T_F starting from the line bundles T_ℓ, via Lie brackets. In order to see this, let us denote

$$V_k:=\bigoplus_{\beta\in\Psi_k}\mathfrak{g}_\beta$$

the k-th element of the filtration of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$-submodules, whose subsequent quotients V_k/V_{k-1} are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_k}$. We will impose the ordering of Φ^+ to satisfy that the elements of the same height appear in lexicographic order with respect to their coordinates in the base Δ.

It then follows that, for every $k\in\{n+1,\dots,m\}$ there exist $j<k$ and $\ell\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ satisfying that:

- $\beta_k=\beta_j+\alpha_\ell$, and
- $\beta_{j'}+\alpha_\ell\in V_k$ for every $j'<j$.

Then, for every k, the Lie bracket provides a morphism of B-modules:

$$\nu_k:V_j\otimes\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_\ell}\to\frac{V_m}{V_{k-1}}=\frac{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}}{V_{k-1}}, \tag{3}$$

whose restriction to $V_{j-1}\otimes\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_\ell}$ is zero. In particular we get a commutative diagram of B-modules:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_j \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_\ell} \arrow[r, "\nu_k"] \arrow[d] & V_m/V_{k-1} & V_m \arrow[l] \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_j} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_\ell} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_k} \arrow[u, hook] & V_k \arrow[l] \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We may now translate this into the language of homogeneous vector bundles over F. Note first that the Lie bracket morphism (3) defines an *O'Neill tensor* of distributions in T_F, that is the $\mathcal{O}_F$-linear morphism given by the composition of the usual Lie bracket with the quotient modulo $T_{\Psi_{k-1}}$:

$$N_k : T_{\Psi_j}\otimes T_\ell \longrightarrow T_F/T_{\Psi_{k-1}},$$

at step k, there exists $j<k$ such that the vector subbundle T_{Ψ_k} may be defined as the inverse image in T_F of the image of N_k (which is isomorphic to $G\times_B\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_k}$).

3. Flag-Type manifolds

In this section we will introduce the definition of Flag-Type manifolds, which correspond, in the CP conjecture setting, to complete flag manifolds G/B.

We begin by stating a set of well-known properties of varieties with nef tangent bundle, paying special to their Mori cones. Throughout the paper, given a manifold X we will denote by ρ_X its Picard number.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a smooth complex Fano manifold with nef tangent bundle. Then the following properties hold:*

1. *every contraction $\pi : X\to Y$ is of fiber type, i.e. $\dim(Y)<\dim(X)$;*
2. *every contraction $\pi : X\to Y$ is smooth and, moreover, its image Y and every fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ are Fano manifolds with nef tangent bundle;*
3. *if X_y is a fiber of an elementary contraction of X, then $\rho_{X_y}=1$;*
4. *the Mori cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is simplicial.*

Proof. The first part follows from the fact that every contraction of X is Fano-Mori, by hypothesis, hence its fibers contain rational curves. Since T_X is nef, every rational curve is free, and the claim follows.

For the second part we refer the reader to [**DPS**, Thm. 5.2] and [**SW**, Thm. 4.4], while the third statement follows from [**Ca**, Example 3.8].

To prove the last statement let us assume by contradiction that $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is not simplicial. Let $R_1,\dots,R_m$, $m>n:=\rho_X$ be the extremal rays of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$, ordered in such a way that $\langle R_1,\dots,R_n\rangle=\mathrm{N}_1(X)$. For every $i=1,\dots,m$ denote by Γ_i a rational curve of minimal anticanonical degree among those such that $[\Gamma_i]\in R_i$. We can write $[\Gamma_m]=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[\Gamma_i]$, with $a_i\in\mathbb{Q}$. By the extremality of R_m there exists j such that $a_j<0$; without loss of generality, we may assume that $j=1$.

For every $i\in\{2,\dots,n\}$ let V_i be the family of rational curves containing Γ_i, which is unsplit and covering by the minimality of $-K_X\cdot\Gamma_i$ and the nefness of T_X. We apply to these families [**CO**, Lemma 2.4]: since every contraction of X is of fiber type, the classes $[V_2],\dots,[V_n]$ must lie in an $(n-1)$-dimensional extremal face of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$. If H is a supporting divisor of this face, then $H\cdot\Gamma_i=0$ for $i\in\{2,\dots,n\}$ and $H\cdot\Gamma_1>0$, so that $H\cdot\Gamma_m<0$. This contradicts the nefness of H. ■

Definition 3.2. We say that a Fano manifold X with nef tangent bundle is a *Flag-Type manifold* or, for brevity, an *FT-manifold*, if every elementary contraction of X is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

Remark 3.3. The expression $\mathbb{P}^1$*-bundle* appears in the literature with different meanings. Within this paper we will use it to refer to the Grothendieck projectivization of a rank two vector bundle, whereas a smooth fibration with fibers isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ will be called a *smooth* $\mathbb{P}^1$*-fibration*.

Complete flag manifolds are, clearly, FT-manifolds. In fact, by Proposition 2.2, given a complete flag manifold $F = G/B$ and an index $i \in D$, the fibers of the elementary contraction $p_i : F \to F(D \setminus \{i\})$ are $\mathbb{P}^1$'s and it is enough to note that the line bundle L_i associated with the fundamental weight λ_i has intersection number one with the fibers of p_i, so that F is isomorphic to the Grothendieck projectivization of $\mathbb{P}(p_{i*}\mathcal{O}(L_i))$.

By analogy with the notation for complete flag manifolds, introduced in 2.2.2 and 2.2.3, along the rest of the paper we will use the following notation for FT-manifolds.

Notation 3.4. Let X be an FT-manifold of Picard number n; we will denote by R_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$ its extremal rays, and by Γ_i a rational curve of minimal degree such that $[\Gamma_i] \in R_i$. If I is any subset of $D := \{1, \dots, n\}$ we will denote by R_I the extremal face spanned by the rays R_i such that $i \in I$, by $\pi_I : X \to X_I$ the corresponding extremal contraction, by T_I the relative tangent bundle and by $K_I := -\det T_I$. We will also denote by $\pi^I : X \to X^I$ the contraction of the face R^I spanned by the rays R_i such that $i \in D \setminus I$. For $I \subset J \subset D$ we will denote the contraction of the extremal face $\pi_{I*}(R_J) \subset \mathrm{N}_1(X_I)$ by $\pi_{I,J} : X_I \to X_J$ or by $\pi^{D\setminus I, D\setminus J} : X^{D\setminus I} \to X^{D\setminus J}$. We will denote by $\mathcal{E}_i$ a rank two vector bundle on X_i such that $\mathbb{P}_{X_i}(\mathcal{E}_i) = X$.

The geometric interpretation of the Cartan matrix of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in terms of the intersection theory of G/B given in Proposition 2.3 suggests a natural way of associating a Cartan matrix with every FT-manifold:

Definition 3.5. Let X be an FT-manifold of Picard number n. The *Cartan matrix* $M(X)$ associated with X is the $n \times n$ matrix defined by $M(X)_{ij} = -K_i \cdot \Gamma_j$.

We will denote by $M_I(X)$ the $|I| \times |I|$ submatrix of $M(X)$ obtained from $M(X)$ by subtracting rows and columns corresponding to indices which are not in I. The next proposition shows that the fibers of a contraction of an FT-manifold X are FT-manifolds, whose Cartan matrices are submatrices of $M(X)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be an FT-manifold and consider any subset $I \subset D$. Let $\pi_I : X \to X_I$ be the contraction of a face R_I and denote by Z_I a fiber of π_I. Then Z_I is an FT-manifold such that $\rho_{Z_I} = \sharp I = \rho_X - \rho_{X_I}$ and, denoting by $j : Z_I \to X$ the inclusion and by $j_* : \mathrm{N}_1(Z_I) \to \mathrm{N}_1(X)$ the induced linear map, we have $j_*(\mathrm{NE}(Z_I)) = R_I$. Moreover the Cartan matrix of Z_I is $M_I(X)$.*

Proof. By [**Ca**, Example 3.8] we have that $\rho_{Z_I} = \rho_X - \rho_{X_I}$. Moreover a curve C whose numerical class belongs to an extremal ray contained in R_I such that $C \cap Z_I \neq \emptyset$ is contained in Z_I. Therefore $j_* : \mathrm{N}_1(Z_I) \to \mathrm{N}_1(X)$ is injective and $j_*(\mathrm{NE}(Z_I)) = R_I$. Let $\pi_i : X \to X_i$ be an elementary contraction such that $i \in I$; its restriction $(\pi_i)|_{Z_I}$ is an extremal contraction of Z_I, which is of fiber type in view of Proposition 3.1. Moreover, since π_i has relative dimension one, also $(\pi_i)|_{Z_I}$ has relative dimension one. The tautological line bundle of $\mathcal{E}_i$ restricts to a line bundle on Z_I which has intersection number one with fibers of $(\pi_i)|_{Z_I}$, hence $(\pi_i)|_{Z_I}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

The last assertion follows from the fact that relative tangent bundles corresponding to elementary contractions π_i indexed by $i \in I$ restrict to the relative tangent bundles of the restriction $(\pi_i)|_{Z_I}$. ∎

We finish this section by stating one of the key ingredients of this paper: the fact that CP Conjecture holds for FT-manifolds of Picard number two.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let X be an FT-manifold of Picard number two. Then X is isomorphic to G/B with G a semisimple Lie group of type $A_1 \times A_1$, A_2, B_2 or G_2.*

PROOF. An FT-manifold of Picard number two has two $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structures. If the dimension of X is two the result is straightforward, while, if $\dim X \geq 3$ it has been proved in [**W1**, Theorem 1.1]. ∎

As a consequence, we may deduce severe restrictions on the coefficients of the Cartan matrix of an FT-manifold.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let X be an FT-manifold, and $M(X) = [m_{ij}]$ its Cartan matrix; then, as in the case of the Cartan matrix of a rational homogeneous space*

- $m_{ii} = 2$,
- $m_{ij} = 0$ *if and only if* $m_{ji} = 0$, *and*
- *if* $m_{ij} \neq 0$, *then* $m_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ *and* $m_{ij}m_{ji} = 1, 2$ *or* 3.

In particular $M(X)$ is a generalized Cartan matrix in the sense of [**K**, 4.0].

PROOF. Any 2×2 principal submatrix is, by Proposition 3.6, the Cartan matrix of an FT-manifold of Picard number 2. These are, up to transposition, the ones corresponding to the manifolds appearing in Theorem 3.7, which are

$$M(A_1 \times A_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(A_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$M(B_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(G_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

∎

This result allows us to associate, in an obvious way, a Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$ with an FT-manifold X:

DEFINITION 3.9. The *Dynkin diagram* $\mathcal{D}(X)$ of X is the graph having $n := \rho_X$ nodes, such that the nodes in the i-th and j-th position are joined by $(-K_i \cdot \Gamma_j)(-K_j \cdot \Gamma_i)$ – which is equal to $= 0, 1, 2$ or 3 – edges. When two nodes are joined by multiple edges we write an arrow on them pointing to the node j if $-K_i \cdot \Gamma_j < -K_j \cdot \Gamma_i$. The set of nodes of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ will be identified with $D = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

REMARK 3.10. From Proposition 3.6 it follows that, the Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(Z_I)$ of the fibers Z_I of a contraction $\pi_I : X \to X_I$ equals the subdiagram $\mathcal{D}(X)_I$ of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ whose set of nodes is $I \subset D$.

4. Chains of rational curves on FT-manifolds

Loci of chains of minimal rational curves in the extremal rays of an FT-manifold X admit a very peculiar description in terms of the corresponding elementary contractions. Given a subset $Y \subset X$ we define $\mathrm{Ch}(i_1 \dots i_m)(Y)$ to be the set of points $x \in X$ such that there exist curves $\Gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{i_l}$ satisfying $\Gamma_{i_j} \cap \Gamma_{i_{j+1}} \neq \emptyset$, $\Gamma_{i_1} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \Gamma_{i_m}$, that is:

$$\mathrm{Ch}(i_1 \dots i_m)(Y) = \pi_{i_m}^{-1}(\pi_{i_m}(\dots(\pi_{i_1}^{-1}(\pi_{i_1}(Y))))).$$

Let us first consider the case in which $X = F$ is a complete flag manifold, for which we will study the loci of chains of curves C_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Remark 4.1. If $X = F = G/B$ is a complete flag manifold, chains of rational curves as above are related to elements of the Weyl group W of G. In fact, the loci $\mathrm{Ch}(i_1 \dots i_m)(y) \subset F$ are *Schubert varieties*, that is the closures of the subsets of the form BwB/B, $w \in W$. In order to make this relation more explicit we need the description of W as a Coxeter group, whose definition we introduce below. For further details we refer the reader to [**Ke**, Section 2].

Definition 4.2. A *Coxeter group* is a group admitting a presentation of the form $\langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \,|\, (s_i s_j)^{a_{ij}} = 1\rangle$, where $a_{ii} = 1$ and $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \geq 2$ for $i \neq j$. Denoting by $r_k(s_i, s_j)$ the word of length k which contains s_i in every odd position and s_j in every even position and by $l_k(s_i, s_j) = r_k(s_j, s_i)$, an equivalent presentation of the group is given by:

$$\langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \,|\, s_i^2 = 1, r_{a_{ij}}(s_i, s_j) = l_{a_{ij}}(s_i, s_j)\rangle.$$

A *reduced word* in the group is a word of minimal length among those presenting an element. The *length* of an element is the length of a reduced word presenting that element.

Remark 4.3. The Weyl group W of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Coxeter group whose exponents a_{ij} are obtained from the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ via the formulas

$$4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{a_{ij}}\right) = \sharp\{\text{edges joining } i \text{ and } j\}. \tag{4}$$

Given a reduced word $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ the corresponding Schubert variety $\overline{BwB/B}$ is $\mathrm{Ch}(i_1 \dots i_\ell)(y)$ and the dimension of $\overline{BwB/B}$ is equal to the length of w.

Definition 4.4. Let W be a Coxeter group as in Definition 4.2; the *Coxeter monoid* associated to W is the monoid W' given by the presentation

$$W' := \langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \,|\, s_i^2 = s_i, r_{a_{ij}}(i, j) = l_{a_{ij}}(i, j)\rangle.$$

The *length* of an element of W' is defined as in 4.2.

In [**T**, Theorem 1] it has been proved that there is a bijection between reduced words in W and W', where two reduced words in W are equal if and only if the corresponding words in W' are equal. In particular, if W is finite, then W' is finite, and the maximum length of a reduced word in W' equals the maximum length of a reduced word in W, which coincides with the dimension of F. So we have

Lemma 4.5. *If $F = G/B$ is a complete flag manifold, then $\dim F$ equals the length of the longest word of the monoid W' associated with the Weyl group W of G.*

Let us extend the previous construction to the case in which X is an FT-manifold. Since X has been endowed with a Dynkin diagram, we may associate with X a Coxeter monoid $W'(X)$. However it is not clear a priori that Lemma 4.5 holds in this case. In turn we choose a general point $y \in X$ and consider the monoid $\mathrm{Chains}(X)$ generated by the symbols $1, 2, \dots, n$ subject to the following relations:

$$i_1 i_2 \dots i_l = j_1 j_2 \dots j_k \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathrm{Ch}(i_1 i_2 \dots i_l)(y) = \mathrm{Ch}(j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)(y).$$

From the definition it is clear that for every i we have $ii = i$; moreover, it is easy to check, considering the fibers of $\pi_{\{i,j\}}$, that we also have

$$r_{a_{ij}}(i,j) = l_{a_{ij}}(i,j),$$

where the a_{ij} are obtained from the Dynkin diagram of X via the formulas in (4). In other words, $\mathrm{Chains}(X)$ is a quotient of $W'(X)$.

Observing that if w is a reduced word in $\mathrm{Chains}(X)$ then $\dim \mathrm{Ch}(w)(y) = l(w)$ (where the length $l(w)$ is defined as in 4.2), we have the following

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be an FT-manifold with Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$. If $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is finite and G/B is the variety of flags corresponding to $\mathcal{D}(X)$, then $\dim X \leq \dim G/B$.*

Proof. It is enough to remark that $\dim X$ equals the length of the longest element in $\mathrm{Chains}(X)$. ■

Lemma 4.7. *Let X be an FT-manifold whose Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is finite and disconnected, so that it can be written as the disjoint union of two subdiagrams $\mathcal{D}(X)_I$ and $\mathcal{D}(X)_J$, $I \sqcup J = D$. Then $\dim X = \dim Z_I + \dim Z_J$.*

Proof. Since for every $i \in I$ and every $j \in J$ we have $\mathrm{Ch}(ij)(y) = \mathrm{Ch}(ji)(y)$, every word in $\mathrm{Chains}(X)$ is equivalent to a word obtained by juxtaposition of a word in $\mathrm{Chains}(Z_I)$ and a word in $\mathrm{Chains}(Z_J)$. Thus the length of the longest word in $\mathrm{Chains}(X)$ is less than or equal to the sum of the lengths of the longest words in $\mathrm{Chains}(Z_I)$ and $\mathrm{Chains}(Z_J)$. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 4.6, this can be restated as $\dim X \leq \dim Z_I + \dim Z_J$. Recalling that the restriction of π_J to Z_I is finite the assertion follows. ■

5. Classification of Dynkin diagrams of FT-manifolds

This section is devoted to the classification of the possible Dynkin diagrams of an FT-manifold. The next proposition summarizes some properties of an FT-manifold X, obtained by translating properties of its Dynkin diagram into the geometric language.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be an FT-manifold, let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ be its Dynkin diagram and assume that $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is connected; then the following are equivalent.*

1) *Finite case.*
   (a) *$\mathcal{D}(X)$ is finite;*
   (b) *$\mathrm{N}^1(X) = \langle -K_1, \dots, -K_n \rangle$, and the convex hull of $-K_1, \dots, -K_n$ contains the class of an ample divisor.*
2) *Affine case.*
   (a) *$\mathcal{D}(X)$ is affine;*
   (b) *$\mathrm{N}^1(X) \supsetneq \langle -K_1, \dots, -K_n \rangle$ and for any contraction $\pi_I : X \to X_I$ of a proper subface, the Dynkin diagram of Z_I is finite;*

(c) *there exists a* 1*-cycle* Γ*, such that* $\Gamma \equiv \sum_1^n m_i\Gamma_i$*, with* $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*, and* $-K_i \cdot \Gamma = 0$ *for every* $i = 1, \dots, n$*;*

(d) *there exists an irreducible rational curve* $B \subset X$ *such that* $B \equiv \sum_1^n m_i\Gamma_i$*, with* $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*, and* $-K_i \cdot B = 0$ *for every* $i = 1, \dots, n$*.*

Proof. The Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is finite (resp. affine) if and only if the Cartan matrix $M(X)$ is of finite type (resp. of affine type).

In the finite case (a) and (b) are equivalent by [**K**, Theorem 4.3].

In the affine case items (b) and (c) are just a restatement of items (b) and (e) of [**K**, Proposition 4.7] (see also [**K**, Theorem 4.8 (c)]), and the claimed equivalence is proved there. Finally (c) implies (d) since, being T_X nef, every rational tree $\Gamma_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_{i_r}$ in X is smoothable by [**D**, Prop. 4.24]. ∎

The key point for the classification of Dynkin diagrams of FT-manifolds is to prove that a rational curve such as the one in Proposition 5.1, 2(d) does not exist. We will need two auxiliary lemmata.

Lemma 5.2. *Let* B *be a rational curve on* X *such that* $-K_i \cdot B = 0$ *for some* $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$*. Let* M *be a smooth variety,* $(p, z) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times M$ *a point,* $j : M \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times M$ *the inclusion* $j(z) = (p, z)$ *and* $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \times M \to X$ *a morphism such that* $f|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}}$ *is the normalization of* $B \subset X$*. Let* $\pi_i : X \to X_i$ *be the contraction of the extremal ray* R_i*, and set* $g := \pi_i \circ f$*. Then* $\mathbb{P}(g^*\mathcal{E}_i) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_M(j^*(g^*\mathcal{E}_i))$*.*

Proof. If M is a point then a simple computation on the ruled surface $S := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(g^*\mathcal{E}_i)$ shows that $g^*\mathcal{E}_i \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)$, hence $S \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.
Now assume that $\dim M > 0$, and denote by p_1 and p_2 the projections of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M$ onto the factors. For every $z \in M$, we can show as above that on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}$ the bundle $g^*\mathcal{E}_i$ splits as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)$, so, up to twist with $p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a)$, we can assume that $g^*\mathcal{E}_i$ is trivial on $p_2^{-1}(z)$ for every z. Hence there exists a rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on M such that $g^*\mathcal{E}_i \simeq p_2^*\mathcal{F}$. To finish the proof it is now enough to note that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times M}(p_2^*\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_M(\mathcal{F})$, and $\mathbb{P}_M(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{P}_M(j^*(p_2^*\mathcal{F}))$. ∎

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \times M \to X$ *be a morphism such that* $f(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\})$ *is not contracted by* π_i*. If* $\pi_i \circ f$ *is of fiber type then for any* $b \in \mathbb{P}^1$ *the morphism* $(\pi_i \circ f)|_{\{b\} \times M}$ *is of fiber type.*

Proof. Denote by p_1 and p_2 the projections of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M$ onto the factors.
Let (b, z) be a point of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M$, and C an irreducible curve passing through (b, z) contracted by $\pi_i \circ f$; C is numerically equivalent in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M$ to a combination, with nonnegative coefficients $\lambda\Gamma_b + \mu\mathbb{P}^1_z$, where Γ_b is a curve contained in $p_1^{-1}(b)$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_z := p_2^{-1}(z)$. The curve C is contracted by $\pi_i \circ f$, therefore $[f_*C] \in R_i$, so, by the extremality of R_i, $[\mu f_*\mathbb{P}^1_z] \in R_i$. This contradicts our assumptions, unless $\mu = 0$. We can thus conclude that C is contained in $p_1^{-1}(b)$. ∎

Next we introduce, for FT-manifolds, a construction similar to the so-called *basic construction* in [**Ke**, p. 562].

Construction 5.4. Let X be an FT-manifold, V_0 a manifold with a morphism $f_0 : V_0 \to X$, and $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ a set of (not necessarily distinct) indices. Starting from this data we construct a pair (V_l, f_l) recursively in the following way: given $f_{k-1}(V_{k-1}) \subset X$ we define V_k to be the fiber product $V_{k-1} \times_{X_{i_k}}$

$X = \mathbb{P}_{V_{k-1}}(g_{k-1}^{*}\mathcal{E}_{i_k})$, where $g_{k-1} = \pi_{i_k} \circ f_{k-1}$, and f_k to be the natural map from V_k to X:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_k \arrow[rr, "f_k"] \arrow[d, "\pi_k"] & & X \arrow[d, "\pi_{i_k}"] \\
V_{k-1} \arrow[rru, "f_{k-1}"] \arrow[rr, "g_{k-1}"'] & & X_{i_k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that, if $m = \dim X - \dim V_0$ we can choose indices $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$ so that, at each step, $f_{k-1}(V_{k-1})$ is a divisor in $f_k(V_k)$; the process stops at step m and $f_m(V_m) = X$.

Proposition 5.5. *There is no rational curve $B \subset X$ whose numerical class lies in the interior of* $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ *such that $-K_i \cdot B = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. We perform Construction 5.4, starting from a smooth point $b \in B$ until $m = \dim V_m = \dim X$, denoting by $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$ the indices corresponding to the curves used in the construction.

Using the set of indices $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1}\}$ we perform again Construction 5.4, taking $V'_0 = \mathbb{P}^1$ and f'_0 to be the normalization $f'_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \to B$, obtaining pairs (V'_k, f'_k).

We claim that for the pairs (V'_k, f'_k) the following holds: $V'_k \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times V_k$, and, under this identification, denoted by $j_k : V_k \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times V_k$ the inclusion $j_k(v) = ({f'_1}^{-1}(b), v)$, we have $f'_k \circ j_k = f_k$. The statement is clearly true for $k = 1$, so we assume by induction that it holds for $k = l-1$. In particular, we have $g'_{l-1} \circ j_{l-1} = g_{l-1}$, hence, By Lemma 5.2, we obtain

$$V'_l \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{V_{l-1}}(({g'}_{l-1} \circ j_{l-1})^{*}\mathcal{E}_{i_l}) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{V_{l-1}}(g_{l-1}^{*}\mathcal{E}_{i_l}) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times V_l.$$

Finally, since both the left and the right square of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_l \arrow[r, "j_l"] \arrow[d, "\pi_l"'] & \mathbb{P}^1 \times V_l \arrow[r, "f'_l"] \arrow[d, "\pi'_l"'] & X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{i_l}) \arrow[d, "\pi_{i_l}"] \\
V_{l-1} \arrow[r, "j_{l-1}"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "g_{l-1}"'] & \mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{l-1} \arrow[r, "g'_{l-1}"] & X_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

are cartesian, also the outer square is, hence $f'_l \circ j = f_l$, and the claim is proved.

In particular, taking $k = m-1$ we get $V'_{m-1} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{m-1}$. Since by hypothesis B is not contracted by π_i for any i, then by Lemma 5.3 the morphism $\pi_{i_m} \circ f'_{m-1} : V'_{m-1} \to X_{i_m}$ is generically finite, contradicting that $\dim V'_{m-1} = \dim X$. ■

We can now prove the main result of this paper:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We prove the statement by induction on the number of nodes of $\mathcal{D}(X)$. The result is true for $n = 2$ by Theorem 3.7, hence we may assume that $n \geq 3$ and that the statement holds for FT-manifolds of Picard number $\leq n-1$.

If the diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is disconnected as $\mathcal{D}(X)_I \sqcup \mathcal{D}(X)_J$, by Remark 3.10 the fibers of the contractions π_I and π_J have Dynkin diagrams $\mathcal{D}(X)_I$ and $\mathcal{D}(X)_J$ which are finite by induction.

Assume now that $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is connected. By induction every subdiagram of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is finite. By Proposition 5.1 we may assert that $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is either finite or affine. In the latter case, the same Proposition gives us an irreducible rational curve $B \subset X$ such that $B \equiv \sum_1^n m_i\Gamma_i$, with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $-K_i \cdot B = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. This contradicts Proposition 5.5. ■

As a corollary we obtain a recursive strategy to tackle CP conjecture for FT-manifolds, that may be rephrased as follows:

Conjecture 5.6. *Let X be an FT-manifold of Picard number n, with Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$.*

- Ind_n *If $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is connected then X is a complete flag manifold, whose Dynkin diagram is $\mathcal{D}(X)$.*
- Dec_n *If $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is disconnected then X is a product of complete flag manifolds whose Dynkin diagrams are the connected components of $\mathcal{D}(X)$.*

Corollary 5.7. *Conjectures Ind_k and Dec_k for $k < n$ imply Conjecture Dec_n.*

Proof. Let X be an FT-manifold of Picard number n, with disconnected Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}(X)_I \sqcup \mathcal{D}(X)_J$, with $\mathcal{D}(X)_I$ connected.

If $I = \{i\}$, then $-K_i$ is nef, and it is trivial on every ray R_j with $j \neq i$. Hence it is a supporting divisor of the face R_J and thus is semiample; by [**Y**, Theorem 2.3], since X_i is simply connected, then $\mathcal{E}_i$ is trivial and $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y$, where Y is an FT-manifold of Picard number $n-1$.

So we may assume that $\sharp(I) \geq 2$. According to Lemma 4.7, $\pi_I|_{Z_I} : Z_I \to X_J$ and $\pi_J|_{Z_J} : Z_J \to X_I$ are surjective; the degree of these (finite) maps equals the number of points in which a general Z_I and a general Z_J meet.

Since Z_I is an FT-manifold with connected Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(Z_I) = \mathcal{D}(X)_I$, it follows from our assumption that Z_I is a complete flag manifold G/B, where G is simple. Hence, applying [**La**, Main Theorem], $\pi_I|_{Z_I} : Z_I \to X_J$ is an isomorphism. Then also $\pi_J|_{Z_J} : Z_J \to X_I$, being finite of degree one between smooth varieties, is an isomorphism, and the same holds for the morphism $\pi_I \times \pi_J : X \to X_J \times X_I$. ■

6. Dynkin diagrams and CP conjecture for FT-manifolds

We start by proving the following result, that will be useful later:

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be an FT-manifold of Picard number n and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $F = G/B$ be the complete flag manifold associated with the Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$ and assume that Conjecture 5.6 holds for FT-manifolds with Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)_{D\setminus\{i\}}$. Then:*

$$-K_{X^i} \cdot \pi^i_*(\Gamma_i) = -K_{F(i)} \cdot p^i_*(C_i).$$

Proof. Let Z^i be a fiber of π^i, that is, by hypothesis, a complete flag manifold G^i/B^i. We will use Construction 2.4, that shows how T_{Z^i} is obtained from the line bundles $(T_r)_{|Z^i}$ by means of Lie brackets. Given Φ^{i^+} the set of positive roots of G^i we may then consider a sequence $\Psi^i_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \Psi^i_m = \Phi^{i^+}$ of admissible sets allowing us to construct, recursively, vector subbundles $T_{\Psi^i_k} \subset T_{Z^i}$: at step $k > n$ this bundle is the inverse image into T_{Z^i} of the image of a morphism

$$N_k : T_{\Psi^i_j} \otimes (T_\ell)_{|Z^i} \longrightarrow T_{Z^i}/T_{\Psi^i_{k-1}}, \text{ for some } j < k.$$

By induction, assume that, at step k, the vector bundles $T_{\Psi^i_r}$ are restrictions to Z^i of vector subbundles $\mathcal{V}_r \subset T_X$ in X for every $r < k$. Since the relative tangent bundle

T^i is integrable, whose leaves are the fibers Z^i, it follows that the morphisms N_k defined on every fiber Z^i glue together into the O'Neill tensor N_k defined globally by

$$N_k : \mathcal{V}_j \otimes T_\ell \longrightarrow T^i/\mathcal{V}_{k-1}.$$

By induction we may also assume that $\mathcal{V}_j/\mathcal{V}_{j-1}$ is a tensor product combination of T_r's. Since, following Construction 2.4, the image of N_k is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}_j/\mathcal{V}_{j-1} \otimes T_\ell$, we conclude that $\mathcal{V}_k/\mathcal{V}_{k-1}$ is a tensor product combination of T_r's. By construction, the coefficients of the combination can be computed by restricting to any fiber Z^i.

Summing up, we obtain that $-K^i \equiv c_1\left(\bigoplus_k \mathcal{V}_k/\mathcal{V}_{k-1}\right)$ is a linear combination of the $-K_j$, $j \neq i$, whose coefficients are the expected ones, that is, those that can be computed by restricting $-K^i$ to the fiber Z^i. The proof is finished by intersecting with C_i and Γ_i on F and X, respectively, and applying adjunction and projection formulas. ■

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a connected finite Dynkin diagram, corresponding to a simple Lie group G. With the same notation as in Section 2, we will denote by $N(i) \subset D$ the set of neighboring nodes of the node $i \in D$, i.e. the set of nodes j linked to i in $\mathcal{D}$.

Consider now a subset $I \subset D$ and a node $i \in I$. Following [**LM**], we say that i is an *exposed short node* for I if the connected component containing i of the subdiagram of $\mathcal{D}$ supported on $D \setminus (I \setminus \{i\})$ contains an arrow pointing towards i.

Given a subset $I \subset D$ and a node $i \in I$, we will now set:

$$J := I \setminus \{i\}, \quad I' := I \cup N(i), \quad J' := J \cup N(i)$$

Consider the line bundle $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(F(I)) \simeq H^2(F(I),\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to $\sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i$. Then L is very ample and the embedding $F(I) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ defined by the complete linear system $|L|$ is called *minimal homogeneous*. With respect to this embedding, the coroots $\check{\alpha}_i \in H_2(F(I),\mathbb{Z})$ are lines on $F(I)$ and [**LM**, Theorem 4.3] can be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 6.2 ([**LM**, Theorem 4.3]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the minimal homogeneous embedding of $X := F(I)$, and assume that i is not an exposed short node for I. Then:*

1. *the space of lines of class $\check{\alpha}_i \in H_2(F(I),\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $F(J')$;*
2. *the following natural double fibration gives the universal family of lines of class $\check{\alpha}_i$:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & F(I') \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
F(I) & & F(J').
\end{tikzcd}$$

The following Proposition shows how to use Theorem 6.2 to reconstruct inductively an FT-manifold using family of lines:

Proposition 6.3. *Let X be an FT-manifold with Picard number n and connected Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$. Assume that $i \in I \subset D$ satisfy that:*

1. *i is not an exposed short node for I;*
2. *the connected component containing i of the subdiagram of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ supported on $D \setminus J$ has at least two nodes, and*
3. *$\sharp(I') = \sharp(I) + 1 \geq 3$.*

Assume furthermore that Conjecture 5.6 holds for FT-manifolds whose Dynkin diagram is a subdiagram of $\mathcal{D}(X)$. Then, if $X^I \cong F(I)$ it follows that $X^{I'} \cong F(I')$.

Proof. By induction, for every $\emptyset \neq \bar{J} \subset \bar{I} \subset D$ the fibers of $\pi^{\bar{I},\bar{J}} : X^{\bar{I}} \to X^{\bar{J}}$ are isomorphic to the fibers of $p^{\bar{I},\bar{J}} : F(\bar{I}) \to F(\bar{J})$. This, together with the assumption $X^I \cong F(I)$, implies that $\dim X = \dim F(D)$, and, in turn that $\dim X^{\bar{I}} = \dim F(\bar{I})$ for every $\bar{I} \subset D$.

In particular the fibers of $\pi^{I,J} : X^I \to X^J$ are isomorphic to the fibers of $p^{I,J} : F(I) \to F(J)$, which have Picard number one. The curves $\pi^I_*(\Gamma_i)$ are contained in these fibers and, by Proposition 6.1, we see that $(-K_{X^I})\cdot\pi^I_*(\Gamma_i) = (-K_{F(I)})\cdot p^I_*(C_i)$. This implies that these curves are lines of class $\check{\alpha}_i$ on X^I. Thus, $\pi^{I',J'} : X^{I'} \to X^{J'}$ can be regarded as a family of lines of class $\check{\alpha}_i$ on X^I. By the universal property of the Hilbert scheme, this family is obtained by a base change from the universal family of lines of class $\check{\alpha}_i$ on X^I hence, by Theorem 6.2 we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^{I'} \arrow[r, "\tilde{h}"'] \arrow[d, "\pi^{I',J'}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\pi^{I',I}"] & F(I') \arrow[r, "p^{I',I}"'] \arrow[d, "p^{I',J'}"'] & X^{I} \arrow[d, "\pi^{I,J}"] \\
X^{J'} \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\pi^{J',J}"'] & F(J') \arrow[r, "p^{J',J}"] & X^{J}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We claim that h (and hence $\tilde{h}$) is a finite surjective map; by dimensional reasons it is enough to show that h is finite. Assume by contradiction that there exists a curve $C \subset X^{J'}$ which is contracted by h. By the commutativity of the diagram C is contained in a fiber of $\pi^{J',J}$. Denote by x the point $\pi^{J',J}(C)$ and consider the restricted diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z^{I',J} \arrow[r, "\tilde{h}"] \arrow[d, "\pi^{I',J'}"'] & Z^{I',J} \arrow[r, "p^{I',I}"] \arrow[d, "p^{I',J'}"] & Z^{I,J} \arrow[d, "\pi^{I,J}"] \\
Z^{J',J} \arrow[r, "h"] & Z^{J',J} \arrow[r, "p^{J',J}"] & x
\end{tikzcd}$$

By assumption (3) $Z^{J',J}$ has Picard number one, hence, $h|_{Z^{J',J}}$ is constant. Then $\tilde{h}(Z^{I',J})$ is contained in a fiber of $p^{I',J'}$, which has dimension one. But, by assumption (2), $\dim Z^{I,J} > 1$, a contradiction, which proves that $\tilde{h}$ is finite and surjective.

By [**La**, Main Theorem], for any fiber $Z^{I',J}$ of $\pi^{I',J}$ the restriction $\tilde{h}|_{Z^{I',J}}$ is an isomorphism (by assumption (3) the Picard number of $Z^{I',J}$ is at least two). It follows that $\tilde{h}$ is bijective, hence an isomorphism. ■

In the next proposition we will number the nodes of every connected finite Dynkin as in [**H**, p. 58].

Proposition 6.4. *Let X be an FT-manifold with connected Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(X)$, and consider, for every possible type of finite connected Dynkin diagram the subset $I \subset D$ provided in the following table:*

$\mathcal{D}$	A_n	B_n	C_n	D_n	E_n	F_4
I	$\{1,n\}$	$\{1,n\}$	$\{1,n\}$	$\{1,n\}$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{1,4\}$

Then, if $X^I \cong F(I)$, it follows that $X \cong F(D)$.

Proof. It is enough to show that there exists a sequence $\{(I_k, i_k),\ k = 1,\dots,r\}$, $I_k \subset D$, $i_k \in I_k$, with $I_1 = I$, satisfying that

- $i_k \in I_k \subset D$ satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 6.3,
- $I_{k+1} = I_k \cup \{i_{k+1}\} = I_k \cup N(i_k)$, and
- $I_r = D$.

The existence of this sequence is an easy exercise. For instance, in case A_n the sequence is given by $I_k = \{1,\dots,k,n\}, i_k = k$. ■

Finally, the next statement, together with the previous proposition, allows us to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 6.5. *Let X be an FT-manifold with Dynkin diagram A_n, with $n \geq 2$. Then $X^{\{1,n\}} \cong \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \cong F(\{1,n\})$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 2$, then our claim follows from Theorem 3.7. Hence we may assume that $n \geq 3$ and that the statement holds for FT-manifolds of type A_k if $k < n$.

By Remark 3.10 together with our induction hypothesis, for every proper subset I the fibers Z_I of the contraction $\pi_I : X \to X_I$ are complete flag manifolds with Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(Z_I) = \mathcal{D}(X)_I$; the connected components of $\mathcal{D}(Z_I)$ are clearly of type A_k with $k < n$. This implies that, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subset J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$, the fibers of the contraction $\pi^{J,I} : X^J \to X^I$ are isomorphic to the fibers of the contraction of rational homogeneous spaces $F(J) \to F(I)$.

In particular, $\pi^{\{1,n\},1} : X^{\{1,n\}} \to X^1$ and $\pi^{\{1,n\},n} : X^{\{1,n\}} \to X^n$ are $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-fibrations. Since $\dim X^{\{1,n\}} \leq 2n-1$ by Corollary 4.6, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim X^1 + \dim X^n &\geq \dim X^{\{1,n\}}\\ &= (\dim X^1 + \dim X^n) + 2(n-1) - \dim X^{\{1,n\}}\\ &\geq (\dim X^1 + \dim X^n) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\dim X^1 + \dim X^n = \dim X^{\{1,n\}}$, then X^1 and X^n are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ (see [**OW**, Section 5]). Then $-K_{X^1} \cdot \pi^1_*(\Gamma_1) \neq n+1$, contradicting Proposition 6.1. Thus we have

$$\dim X^1 + \dim X^n = \dim X^{\{1,n\}} + 1.$$

By [**OW**, Theorem 2], we find that $X^{\{1,n\}} = \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ and $\pi^{\{1,n\},1} : X^{\{1,n\}} \to X^1 = \mathbb{P}^n$, $\pi^{\{1,n\},n} : X^{\{1,n\}} \to X^n = \mathbb{P}^n$ are the canonical projections. It is important to remark that we are allowed to use [**OW**] because the term "$\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle" in that paper refers to what in this paper is called $\mathbb{P}^r$-fibration. ■

References

[CO] Chierici, E. and Occhetta, G., *The cone of curves of Fano varieties of coindex four*, Internat. J. Math., **17** (2006), 1195–1221.

[CP] Campana, F. and Peternell, T., *Projective manifolds whose tangent bundles are numerically effective*, Math. Ann., **289** (1991), no.1, 169–187.

[CP2] Campana, F. and Peternell, T., 4*-folds with numerically effective tangent bundles and second Betti numbers greater than one*, Manuscripta Math., **79** (1993), no.3-4, 225–238.

[Ca] Casagrande, C., *Quasi-elementary contractions of Fano manifolds*, Compos. Math., **144** (2008), 1429–1460.

[D] Debarre, O., *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York (2001).

[DPS] Demailly, J.P., Peternell, T. and Schneider, M. *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom., **3** (1994), no. 2, 295–345.

[H] Humphreys, J.E. *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 9. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

[Hw] Hwang, J.-M., *Rigidity of rational homogeneous spaces*, in International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, (2006), 613–626.

[K] Kac, V.G. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras: an introduction*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 44. Birkhauser, Boston, 1983.

[Ke] Kempf, G.R., *Linear systems on homogeneous spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2), **103**, (1976), no. 3, 557–591.

[LM] J. M. Landsberg, L. Manivel, *On the projective geometry of rational homogeneous varieties*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 1, 65-100.

[La] Lau, C.-H., *Holomorphic maps from rational homogeneous spaces onto projective manifolds*, J. Algebraic Geom., **18**, (2009), no. 2, 223–256.

[Mok] Mok, N., *On Fano manifolds with nef tangent bundles admitting* 1*-dimensional varieties of minimal rational tangents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **354** (2002), no.7, 2639–2658.

[Mor] Mori, S., *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2), **110** (1979), no. 3, 593–606.

[MOS] Muñoz, R., Occhetta, G. and Solá Conde, L.E. *On rank* 2 *vector bundles on Fano manifolds*, to appear in Kyoto Journal of Math.

[OW] Occhetta, G. and Wiśniewski, J.A., *On Euler-Jaczewski sequence and Remmert-Van de Ven problem for toric varieties*, Math. Z., **241**, (2002), no.1, 35–44.

[SW] Solá Conde, L.E. and Wiśniewski, J.A. *On manifolds whose tangent bundle is big and* 1*-ample*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **89** (2004), no. 2, 273–290.

[T] Tsaranov, S. V., *Representation and classification of Coxeter monoids*, European J. Combin., **11**, (1990), no.2, 189–204.

[Y] Yasutake, K., *On projective space bundles with nef normalized tautological divisor.* arXiv:1104.5084v2.

[W1] Watanabe, K., $\mathbb{P}^1$*-bundles over projective manifolds of Picard number one each of which admit another smooth morphism of relative dimension one*, preprint arXiv:1201.3558.

[W2] Watanabe, K., *Fano* 5*-folds with nef tangent bundles and Picard numbers greater than one*, to appear in Math. Z.

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933-Móstoles, Madrid, Spain
E-mail address: `roberto.munoz@urjc.es`

Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá di Trento, via Sommarive 14 I-38123 Povo di Trento (TN), Italy
E-mail address: `gianluca.occhetta@unitn.it`

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933-Móstoles, Madrid, Spain
E-mail address: `luis.sola@urjc.es`

Course of Mathematics, Programs in Mathematics, Electronics and Informatics, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saitama University. Shimo-Okubo 255, Sakura-ku Saitama-shi, 338-8570 Japan
E-mail address: `kwatanab@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp`